arXiv:1603.02665v1 [math.ST] 8 Mar 2016

A Note on Bootstrapping M-estimates from Unstable AR(2) Process with Infinite Variance Innovations

Maryam Sohrabi and Mahmoud Zarepour
University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

March 9, 2016

Abstract

The limiting distribution for M-estimates in a non-stationary autoregressive model with heavy-tailed error is computationally intractable. To make inferences based on the M-estimates, the bootstrap procedure can be used to approximate the sampling distribution. In this paper, we show that the bootstrap scheme with $m = o(n)$ resampling sample size when $m/n \to 0$ is approximately valid in a multiple unit roots time series with innovations in the domain of attraction of a stable law with index $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.

KEYWORDS: Autoregressive model, Unit root, Stable process, Non-stationary, Bootstrapping.

1 Introduction

Consider the autoregressive process of order p (AR(p))

$$\phi(B)X_t = \epsilon_t, \tag{1.1}$$

where B is the backward operator and

$$\phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \cdots - \phi_p z^p. \tag{1.2}$$

The errors $\{\epsilon_t\}$ in (1.1) form a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables in the domain of attraction of a symmetric stable law with index $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. For $0 < \alpha < 2$, this is equivalent to the following assumptions,

$$P(|\epsilon_1| > x) = x^{-\alpha} L(x)$$

for some slowly varying function L at ∞ with $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\frac{P(\epsilon_1 > x)}{P(|\epsilon_1| > x)} \to p \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P(\epsilon_1 \leq -x)}{P(|\epsilon_1| > x)} \to q,$$

as $x \to \infty$, $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $q = 1 - p$. If $\{\epsilon_t\}$ has a symmetric distribution then $p = q = 1/2$.

The model (1.1) is referred to as a non-stationary autoregressive time series, if the characteristic polynomial $\phi(\cdot)$ has at least one root on the boundary of the unit circle. The problem to conduct asymptotic inference for time series with unit roots has been a challenging topic of interest. The extensive studies about autoregressive time series models under the heavy-tailed hypothesis include Knight (1989), Chan and Tran (1989), Phillips (1990), Davis, Knigh, and Liu (1992), Davis and Wu (1997), Tanaka (2008), Samarakoon and Knight (2009), Moreno and Romo (2012), and Chan and Zhang (2012).

When the residuals have regularly varying tail probabilities, least square (LS) estimation methods can exhibit rather poor power performance. Thus, it is important to consider estimation and inference procedures which are robust to departures from finite variance condition. One way to achieve robustness is the use of M-estimate method. For a given loss function $\rho(x)$, the M-estimate $\hat{\Phi} = (\hat{\phi}_1, \ldots, \hat{\phi}_p)$ of $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p)$ minimizes the objective function

$$\sum_{t=p+1}^{n} \rho(X_t - \beta_1 X_{t-1} - \ldots - \beta_p X_{t-p}),$$

with respect to $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p)$, where ρ is an almost everywhere differentiable convex function. This guarantees the uniqueness of the solution. For more details see Davis et al. (1992). Knight (1989) finds the asymptotic distribution of M-estimators of the autoregressive parameter of an infinite-variance random walk. The results establish that self-normalized M-estimates are asymptotically normal and their rate of convergence is higher than the LS estimates. Davis et al. (1992) study the problem of estimating autoregressive parameters when the observations are from a stationary AR(p) process with innovations in the domain of attraction of a stable law. Sohrabi (2016) present the asymptotic distribution of M-estimators for parameters in unstable AR(p) processes when the innovations are assumed to be in the domain of attraction of a symmetric stable law with index $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.

While the asymptotic theory for M-estimates is well understood, the limiting distributions are generally intractable. This prevents the use of the asymptotic distribution for inference purposes such as for the construction of confidence intervals. Davis and Wu (1997) consider bootstrapping M-estimates for the stationary AR(p) processes. Moreno and Romo (2012) use bootstrap resamples to estimate the percentiles of the limiting distribution of M-estimates in a random walk process with errors in the domain of attraction of a law. In this paper, we investigate the bootstrap for approximating the distribution of M-estimates in the unstable autoregressive processes. Due the complexity of the limiting distribution for AR(p) processes given in Sohrabi (2016), we only show that the subsampling bootstrap procedure is asymptotically valid for M-estimates in an unstable AR(2) process. The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set up the problem and the limiting distribution of M-estimates is presented for an AR(2) process with repeated unit roots. The bootstrapping M-estimates for the unstable AR(2) process when the errors belong to the domain of attraction of a stable law with index $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ are considered in Section 3.

2 Asymptotic Theory for M-Estimates in Unstable AR(2) Processes with Infinite Variance Innovations

In this Section, for clarity and simplicity, we present the asymptotic distribution of M-estimators for parameters in a non-stationary AR(2) process when it has two real unit roots. The results for other unstable cases can be found in Sohrabi (2016). Consider the model

$$(1-B)^2 X_t = \epsilon_t, \tag{2.1}$$

which is equivalent to

$$X_t = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_i.$$

Therefore, the following property holds when we have root 1 with the multiplicity of 2:

$$X_t - X_{t-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \epsilon_k.$$

We assume that the innovations $\{\epsilon_t\}$ satisfy:

Assumption 1. *(A1) The innovations $\{\epsilon_t\}$ are i.i.d. random variables in the domain of attraction of a stable law with index $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.*

It is common to assume symmetry for the innovations. Note that for $0 < \alpha < 1$, symmetry is not required. Therefore, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ we impose symmetry on the innovations; i.e., $p = q = 1/2$. However, for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ symmetry is not required, if $\mathrm{E}(\epsilon_1) = 0$.
Assumption A1 implies that:

$$S_n(t) = a_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \epsilon_k \xrightarrow{d} S(t) \quad \text{in} \quad D[0,1], \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of positive constants defined as $a_n = \inf\{x : P[|X_1| > x] \leq n^{-1}\}$ and $\xrightarrow{d}$ denotes here convergence in distribution with respect to the Skorohod topology. Moreover, $S(\cdot)$ is a stable process and its representation is as follows:

$$S(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k \Gamma_k^{-1/\alpha} I(U_k \leq t) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 2, \\ \text{standard Brownian motion} & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{U_k\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. $U[0,1]$ random variables and $\{\delta_k\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that $P(\delta_k = 1) = p$, $P(\delta_k = -1) = q$, and $p + q = 1$. Also, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots$ are the arrival times of a Poisson process with Lebesgue mean measure and $\{U_k, \Gamma_k, \delta_k\}$ are mutually independent. Moreover, we impose the following assumptions on the function $\rho(\cdot)$.

Assumption 2. *(A2) Let ρ be a convex and twice differentiable function, and take $\psi = \rho'$.*

Assumption 3. *(A3)* $\mathrm{E}(\psi(\epsilon_1)) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{E}(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)) < \infty$.

Assumption 4. *(A4)* $0 < |\mathrm{E}(\psi'(\epsilon_1))| < \infty$ *and* $\psi'(\cdot)$ *satisfies the Lipschitz- continuity condition; i.e., there exists a real constant* $k \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* x *and* y*,*

$$|\psi'(x) - \psi'(y)| \leq k|x - y|.$$

Note that for the Assumptions A2-A4, sometimes ρ' does not exist everywhere. In this case, although ρ' is not differentiable at a countable number of points, the results will usually hold with some additional complexity in the proofs. To derive the main result of this paper, we assume that conditions A1-A4 hold and we define the following process on the Skorohod space $D[0, 1]$:

$$W_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \psi(\epsilon_k), \tag{2.4}$$

where $[x]$ stands for integer part of x. It is well known that $W_n(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} W(\cdot)$, a standard Brownian-motion process. Similar to Theorem 4 of Resnick and Greenwood (1979), we can show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_n(\cdot) \\ W_n(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} S(\cdot) \\ W(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$$

on $D[0, 1] \times D[0, 1]$, where $S(\cdot)$ and $W(\cdot)$ are independent. We define the following process

$$A_n(u, v) = \sum_{t=3}^{n} \left[\rho\left(\epsilon_t - n^{-1/2} a_n^{-1} u \left(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2} \right) - n^{-3/2} a_n^{-1} v X_{t-2} \right) - \rho(\epsilon_t) \right],$$

where $(u, v) = \left(n^{1/2} a_n (\hat{\phi}_1 - 2), n^{3/2} a_n \left((\hat{\phi}_1 - 2) + (\hat{\phi}_2 + 1) \right) \right)^T$ is the minimizer of $A_n(u, v)$. Using the Taylor series expansion of each summand of A_n around $u = 0$ and $v = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(u, v) = & -u n^{-1/2} a_n^{-1} \sum_{t=3}^{n} \left(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2} \right) \psi(\epsilon_t) \\ & - v n^{-3/2} a_n^{-1} \sum_{t=3}^{n} X_{t-2} \psi(\epsilon_t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} u^2 n^{-1} a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=3}^{n} \left(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2} \right)^2 \psi'(c_t^n) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} v^2 n^{-3} a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=3}^{n} X_{t-2}^2 \psi'(c_t^n) \\ & + u v n^{-2} a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=3}^{n} X_{t-2} \left(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2} \right) \psi'(c_t^n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where ${c_t}^n$ lies between ϵ_t and $\epsilon_t - n^{-1/2}a_n^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right) - n^{-3/2}a_n^{-1}vX_{t-2}$. Using the fact that ψ' is Lipschitz-continuous:

$$|\psi'(\epsilon_t) - \psi'({c_t}^n)| \leq \lambda\left|n^{-1/2}a_n^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right) + n^{-3/2}a_n^{-1}vX_{t-2}\right|.$$

Asymptotically, $\psi'({c_t}^n)$ can be replaced by $\psi'(\epsilon_t)$ in (2.5). For simplicity we only consider the third term of A_n (the proof is similar for the other terms in (2.5)). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&u^2n^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\left|\psi'(\epsilon_t)-\psi'({c_t}^n)\right| \\
&\qquad \leq ku^2n^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\left|n^{-1/2}a_n^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)+n^{-3/2}a_n^{-1}vX_{t-2}\right| \\
&\qquad \leq ku^3n^{-1/2}m^{-1}a_n^{-3}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left|\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)\right|^3 \\
&\qquad + ku^2vn^{-3/2}n^{-1}a_n^{-3}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left|\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)\right|^2|X_{t-2}| \xrightarrow{P} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, asymptotically each $\psi'(\epsilon_t)$ can be replaced by $\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)$ in (2.5). To appreciate why, again we consider the third term of A_n where

$$\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\psi'(\epsilon_t) = \sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left[\psi'(\epsilon_t)-\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)\right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}n^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\psi'({\epsilon_t}^n) &= n^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\left[\psi'(\epsilon_t)-\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_1)\right)n^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2. \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term of the right hand side in (2.6) approaches to zero as $n \to \infty$ since

$$n^{-1/2}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\left[\psi'(\epsilon_t)-\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)\right] = n^{-1/2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}S_n\left(\frac{t-1}{n}\right)\left[\psi'(\epsilon_t)-\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)\right].$$

Consequently, we have

$$n^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(X_{t-1}-X_{t-2}\right)^2\left[\psi'(\epsilon_t)-\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_t)\right)\right] \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

By the same justification, we can show that the preceding result holds for the last two terms of (2.5). Thus, the finite-dimensional distributions of A_n converge weakly to those of A where

$$\begin{aligned} A(u,v) = & -u\mathrm{E}^{1/2}\left(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1 S(t)dW(t) \\ & - v\mathrm{E}^{1/2}\left(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1\int_0^t S(s)dsdW(t) \\ & + \frac{u^2}{2}\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1 S^2(t)dt \\ & + \frac{v^2}{2}\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t S(s)ds\right)^2 dt \\ & + uv\mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1 S(t)\int_0^t S(s)dsdt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By setting the derivative of $A(u,v)$ to 0 and solving for u and v, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^{1/2}a_n(\hat{\phi}_1-2) \\ n^{3/2}a_n(\hat{\phi}_1-2)+n^{3/2}a_n(\hat{\phi}_2+1) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma_2^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{E}^{1/2}\left(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1 S(t)\,dW(t)}{\mathrm{E}(\psi'(\epsilon_1))} \\ \frac{\mathrm{E}^{1/2}\left(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1\int_0^t S(s)\,ds\,dW(t)}{\mathrm{E}(\psi'(\epsilon_1))} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 S^2(t)\,dt & \int_0^1 S(t)\int_0^t S(s)\,ds\,dt \\ \int_0^1 S(t)\int_0^t S(s)\,ds\,dt & \int_0^1\left(\int_0^t S(s)\,ds\right)^2 dt \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

3 Bootstrap Procedure

The asymptotic distributions for the M-estimators obtained in the previous section are not easily computationally tractable. This complexity grows when several real and complex unit roots appear in the time series models. Due to the complexity of the limiting distributions, to make inferences based on M-estimates, one may consider a resampling scheme.

For the model defined in (2.1), consider the following steps:

(i) Estimate ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 by $\hat{\phi}_1$ and $\hat{\phi}_2$ using M-estimate method and calculate the residuals

$$e_t = X_t - \hat{\phi}_1 X_{t-1} - \hat{\phi}_2 X_{t-2}.$$

(ii) Define $\hat{F}_n(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{i=3}^n \varepsilon_{(e_i-\bar{e}\le\cdot)}$ as the empirical distribution function of residuals , where $\bar{e} = \frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{i=3}^n e_i$ and take a sample of size m, $e_1^*,\ldots,e_m^*$, from $\hat{F}_n$.

(iii) The bootstrap sample $\{X_t^*\}$ is then recursively obtained from the model

$$X_t^* = \hat{\phi}_1 X_{t-1}^* + \hat{\phi}_2 X_{t-2}^* + e_t^*, \;\; t = 3,\ldots,m.$$

Once we obtain the bootstrap series, we calculate the bootstrap estimates from

$$(\hat{\phi}_1^*, \hat{\phi}_2^*) = \arg\min_{(\phi_1,\phi_2)} \sum_{t=3}^{m} \rho\left(X_t^* - \phi_1 X_{t-1}^* - \phi_2 X_{t-2}^*\right).$$

The following lemma is needed to derive the limiting distribution of the bootstrap estimates.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{e_1^*, \ldots, e_m^*\}$ be an i.i.d. sample from $\hat{F}_n$ and E^* denotes the expectation under $\hat{F}_n$. Also, under condition A1-A4 and with the subsampling of size m such that $m/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we have*

(i) $S_m^*(\cdot) = a_m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[m\cdot]} e_i^* \xrightarrow{d} S(\cdot)$, *in probability, where $S(\cdot)$ is the stable process defined in* (2.3),

(ii) $\mathrm{E}^*(\psi(e_1^*)) = 0$,

(iii) $m^{-1/2}\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[m\cdot]} \psi(e_i^*) \xrightarrow{d} W(\cdot)$, *in probability, where $W(\cdot)$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of $S(\cdot)$ and* $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathrm{E}^*(\psi^2(e_1^*)) = \frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{i=3}^{n} \psi^2(e_i) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{E}(\psi^2(\epsilon_1))$,

(iv) $\mathrm{E}^*(\psi'(e_1^*)) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{E}(\psi'(\epsilon_1))$.

Proof. To prove part (i), it is enough to show that for any $k \geq 1$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{(e_t^*, a_m^{-1}\mathbf{e_{t-1}^*})} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{(\epsilon_{i,j}, \delta_j \Gamma_j^{-1/\alpha}\mathbf{c_i})} \tag{3.1}$$

in probability, where $\mathbf{e_{t-1}^*} = \left(e_{t-1}^*, \ldots, e_{t-k}^*\right)$ and $\mathbf{c_i}$ is the basis element of $\mathbb{R}^k$ with ith component equal to one and the rest 0. Note that $\{\delta_j\}$ and $\{\Gamma_j\}$ are as specified in (2.3). The proof of (3.1) is quite similar to the arguments used for Lemma 5 in Davis and Wu (1997). Results (ii)-(iv) follow by arguments similar to those of Proposition 4 of Moreno and Romo (2012). The technical details are omitted. See also Davis and Resnick (1985) and Arcones and Giné (1989).

Now, we are ready to establish the bootstrap weak convergence in probability. Define the following process

$$A_m^*(u,v) = \sum_{t=3}^{m} \left[\rho\left(e_t^* - m^{-1/2}a_m^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right) - m^{-3/2}a_m^{-1}vX_{t-2}^*\right) - \rho(e_t^*)\right].$$

If we show that $A_m^*(u,v) \xrightarrow{d} A(u,v)$ in probability, where $A(u,v)$ is defined in (2.7), then the minimizer of $A_m^*(u,v)$, $\left(m^{1/2}a_m(\hat{\phi}_1^* - \hat{\phi}_1), m^{3/2}a_m(\hat{\phi}_1^* - \hat{\phi}_1) + m^{3/2}a_m(\hat{\phi}_2^* - \hat{\phi}_2)\right)$, converges to the minimizer of $A(u,v)$ due to the convexity of A_m^*; see Knight (1989). To prove that $A_m^*(u,v) \xrightarrow{d}$

$A(u,v)$ in probability, when $m \to \infty$, we will use a Taylor expansion of ρ around $(u,v) = (0,0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^*(u,v) = & -um^{-1/2}a_m^{-1}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)\psi(e_t^*) \\ & - vm^{-3/2}a_m^{-1}\sum_{t=3}^{m}X_{t-2}^*\psi(e_t^*) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}u^2m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\psi'(c_t^{*m}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}v^2m^{-3}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}{X_{t-2}^*}^2\psi'(c_t^{*m}) \\ & + uvm^{-2}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}X_{t-2}^*\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)\psi'(c_t^{*m}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where c_t^{*m} lies between e_t^* and $e_t^* - m^{-1/2}a_m^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right) - m^{-3/2}a_m^{-1}vX_{t-2}^*$. Using the fact that ψ' is Lipschitz-continuous:

$$|\psi'(e_t^*) - \psi'(c_t^{*m})| \leq \lambda\left|m^{-1/2}a_m^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right) + m^{-3/2}a_m^{-1}vX_{t-2}^*\right|.$$

Asymptotically, $\psi'(c_t^{*m})$ can be replaced by $\psi'(e_t^*)$ in (3.2). For simplicity we only consider the third term of A_m^* (the proof is similar for the other terms in (3.2)). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & u^2m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left|\psi'(e_t^*) - \psi'(c_t^{*m})\right| \\ & \quad \leq ku^2m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left|m^{-1/2}a_m^{-1}u\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right) + m^{-3/2}a_m^{-1}vX_{t-2}^*\right| \\ & \quad \leq ku^3m^{-1/2}m^{-1}a_m^{-3}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left|\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)\right|^3 \\ & \quad + ku^2vm^{-3/2}m^{-1}a_m^{-3}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left|\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)\right|^2|X_{t-2}^*| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \end{aligned}$$

in probability, when $m \to \infty$. Furthermore, asymptotically each $\psi'(e_t^*)$ can be replaced by $\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right)$ in (3.2). To appreciate why, again we consider the third term of A_m^* where

$$\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\psi'(e_t^*) = \sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^* - X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left[\psi'(e_t^*) - \mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right) + \mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right)\right].$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\psi'(e_t^*)=m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left[\psi'(e_t^*)-\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right)\right]$$
$$+\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_1^*)\right)m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2. \qquad (3.3)$$

Note that the first term of the right hand side in (3.3) approaches to zero as $n\to\infty$ since

$$m^{-1/2}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left[\psi'(e_t^*)-\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right)\right]=m^{-1/2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}S_m^*\left(\frac{t-1}{m}\right)\left[\psi'(e_t^*)-\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right)\right].$$

Consequently, we have

$$m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\left[\psi'(e_t^*)-\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_t^*)\right)\right]\xrightarrow{p}0,$$

in probability. By the same justification, we can show that the preceding result holds for the last two terms of (3.2). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_m^*(u,v)=&-um^{-1/2}a_m^{-1}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)\psi(e_t^*)\\&-vm^{-3/2}a_m^{-1}\sum_{t=3}^{m}X_{t-2}^*\psi(e_t^*)\\&+\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_1^*)\right)\frac{1}{2}u^2m^{-1}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right)^2\\&+\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_1^*)\right)\frac{1}{2}v^2m^{-3}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}{X_{t-2}^*}^2\\&+\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_1^*)\right)uvm^{-2}a_m^{-2}\sum_{t=3}^{m}X_{t-2}^*\left(X_{t-1}^*-X_{t-2}^*\right).\end{aligned}$$

The following two moment convergence will be necessary:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi^2(e_1^*)\right)&=\frac{1}{2(n-2)}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\left(\psi^2(e_t)+\psi^2(-e_t)\right)\\&=\frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\psi^2(e_t)=\frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\psi^2\left(\epsilon_t+(2-\hat{\phi}_1)X_{t-1}+(-1-\hat{\phi}_2)X_{t-2}\right)\\&=\frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\psi^2(\epsilon_t)+o_P(1)\xrightarrow{P}\mathrm{E}\left(\psi(\epsilon_1)\right),\end{aligned}$$

when $n \to \infty$, by a weak law of large numbers, and

$$\mathrm{E}^*\left(\psi'(e_1^*)\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=3}^{n}\psi'(\epsilon_t) + o_P(1) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{E}\left(\psi'(\epsilon_1)\right),$$

when $n \to \infty$, using, again, a weak law of large numbers. Therefore, by applying Lemma 1, we obtain the following result

$$\begin{pmatrix} m^{1/2}a_n(\hat{\phi}_1^* - \hat{\phi}_1) \\ m^{3/2}a_m(\hat{\phi}_1^* - \hat{\phi}_1) + m^{3/2}a_m(\hat{\phi}_2^* - \hat{\phi}_2) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{E}^{1/2}\left(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1 S(t)\,dW(t)}{\mathrm{E}(\psi'(\epsilon_1))} \\ \frac{\mathrm{E}^{1/2}\left(\psi^2(\epsilon_1)\right)\int_0^1\int_0^t S(s)\,ds\,dW(t)}{\mathrm{E}(\psi'(\epsilon_1))} \end{pmatrix}$$

in probability, where Γ_2 is defined in (2.8). This result can be generalized to non-stationary AR(p) processes with several real and conjugate complex unit roots.

References

[1] Chan, N.H. and Tran, L.T. (1989) On the first order autoregressive process with infinite variance. *Econometric Theory*, 5, 354-862.

[2] Chan, N.H. and Zhang, R.M. (2012) Non-stationary autoregressive processes with infinite variance. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 33, 916-934.

[3] Davis, R.A. and Resnick, S.I. (1985) Limit theory for moving averages of random variables with regularly tail probabilities. *The Annals of Probability*, 13, 179-195.

[4] Davis, R.A., Knight, K. and Liu, J. (1992) M-estimation for autoregressions with infinite variance. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 40, 145-180.

[5] Davis, R.A., Wu, W. (1997) Bootstrapping M-estmaites in regression and autoregression with infinite varianve. *Statistica Sinica*, 7, 1135-1154.

[6] Knight, K. (1989) Limit theory for autoregressive-parameter estimates in an infinite-variance random walk. *Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 17, 261-278.

[7] Moreno, M. and Romo, J. (2012) Unit root bootstrap tests under infinite variance. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 33, 32-47.

[8] Phillips, P. C. B. (1990) Time series regression with a unit root and infinite-variance errors. *Econometric Theory*, 6, 44-62.

[9] Samarakoon, D.M. and Knight, K. (2009) A note on unit root tests with infinite variance noise. *Econometric Reviews*, 28, 314-334.

[10] Sohrabi, M. (2016) On Robust Asymptotic Theory of Unstable AR(p) Processes with Infinite Variance Innovations. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, 120 pp.

[11] Tanaka, K. (2008) Analysis of models with complex roots on the unit circle. *Journal of the Japan Statistical Society*, 38, 145-155.